

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,938	06/27/2001	Keith A. Merwin	D-7173-1	5571
7590 04/28/2004			EXAMINER	
Arthur G. Yeager, P.A. Suite 1305 112 West Adams Street Jacksonville, FL 32202			HOOSAIN, ALLAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2645	7
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/892,938	MERWIN ET AL.			
J. A. T. GOLDY TOUGH	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Allan Hoosain	2645			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of thi er: (1) a timely filed amendm ppeal (with appeal fee); or (3	is application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in			
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY V 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing VAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH the date on which the petition under 3 extension and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply original	ng date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee bunt of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under ally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than thre earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appell 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37	•				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	ed because:				
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require for	urther consideration and/or s	search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	ote below);				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	ion in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without car	nceling a corresponding nun	nber of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following r	, , ,				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) we canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) reques application in condition for allowance because		en considered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed S	OLELY to issues which were newly			
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	ows:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)	approved or b)☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other: See Continuation Sheet		Allan Hoosain			

Allan Hoosain Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2645 Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/892,938





Application No.

Continuation of 2: NOTE: Claim 1 recites new limitations for "receiving by a subscriber a reminder call from the SRS" and "providing to the SRS by a subscriber". Claim 15 recites new limitations for "providing a remider call to a remote telephone" and "provided by a subscriber to said system". These limitations raise new issues because they were not presented earlier. The limitations require a new search.

Continuation of 10. Other: Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicants' arguments in the Remarks. This is because the new limitations substantially changes the scope of the claims. For example the claims now require that a subscriber provides information to the SRS and providing calls to a remote location. Examiner believes that more than a cursory review is required to ensure that there is no new prior art which teaches the limitations.